

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS
EASTERN DIVISION**

ROADGET BUSINESS PTE. LTD., a private
limited company organized in the country of
Singapore,

Plaintiff,

vs.

THE INDIVIDUALS, CORPORATIONS,
LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANIES,
PARTNERSHIPS, AND UNINCORPORATED
ASSOCIATIONS IDENTIFIED ON SCHEDULE A
HERETO,

Defendants.

Case No.:

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

PUBLIC REDACTED VERSION

COMPLAINT

Plaintiff Roadget Business Pte. Ltd. (“Roadget”), for its complaint and prayer for
injunctive relief against the parties identified on **Schedule A** attached hereto (“Defendants”),
states as follows:

INTRODUCTION

1. Plaintiff Roadget owns the enormously popular SHEIN online fashion and
lifestyle retailer. (See <http://us.shein.com/>.) SHEIN’s affordable clothes and original designs
have taken the Internet by storm—and inspired many copycats on e-commerce platforms.
Defendants are online sellers operating under one or more seller aliases that are advertising,
offering for sale, and selling clothing using SHEIN’s original, copyrighted works. These sellers
may be using more than one alias at a time to conceal their identities and the full scope of their
operation.

2. Roadget is forced to file this action to combat Defendants' infringement of its copyrighted works and to protect unknowing consumers from purchasing unauthorized products over the Internet. Roadget has been irreparably damaged by Defendants' infringement and seeks injunctive and monetary relief.

THE PARTIES

3. Plaintiff Roadget Business Pte. Ltd. is a private limited company with its principal place of business in Singapore. Roadget owns the famous SHEIN trademark and online fashion and lifestyle retailer.

4. Defendants are business entities who, upon information and belief, reside mainly in foreign jurisdictions. Defendants operate e-commerce stores under the seller aliases identified on Schedule A and/or other aliases. Defendants conduct business in the United States and, on information and belief, have sold and continue to sell products to United States consumers, including in Illinois and this judicial district.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

5. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a), as this action arises under the copyright laws of the United States.

6. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendants under Rule 4(k)(2) because they are foreign Defendants that can be sued anywhere in the United State, are not subject to jurisdiction to any state's courts of general jurisdiction, and exercising jurisdiction is consistent with the Copyright Act and the United States Constitution.

7. This Court also has personal jurisdiction because, on information and belief, Defendants target business activities toward consumers in the United States, including Illinois.

Defendants sell to Illinois residents and other United States consumers by setting up and operating e-commerce stores through online platforms. Defendants offer shipping to the United States, including Illinois, and accept payments in U.S. dollars from U.S. bank accounts. On information and belief, Defendants have sold and continue to sell apparel with unauthorized copies of Roadget's copyrighted works to residents of Illinois. Defendants have wrongfully injured Roadget in the state of Illinois.

8. Venue is proper in this judicial district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391 and 1400(a). In this copyright action, venue is proper in any district in which Defendants reside or may be found, which includes any district in which they would be subject to personal jurisdiction. *See also* 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(3). On information and belief, Defendants are not residents in the United States, which means that they may be sued in any judicial district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(c)(3).

JOINDER

9. Joining Defendants is proper in this case under Rule 20(a)(2) because Roadget's claims arise out of the same occurrence of mass copyright infringement on the same website.

10. Defendants are logically interrelated because they all sell infringing products on the same internet platform, Temu, and there will be common questions of both law and fact that will arise.

11. Joining six (6) separate Defendants in one case, rather than a separate case for each of the 6 Defendants, reduces the burden on the Court's judicial resources and promotes efficiency.

BACKGROUND

Plaintiff's Copyrighted Works

12. Roadget is a private limited company registered in Singapore. Its SHEIN trademarks are used to sell a wide variety of products worldwide. In the United States, Roadget's affiliate and licensee, Shein Distribution Corporation ("SDC"), sells apparel, beauty products, home goods, pet supplies, and a variety of other products through Roadget's mobile application and its website, <https://us.shein.com>.

13. The SHEIN brand has skyrocketed in popularity over the last several years, becoming one of the most visited fashion sites in the world and talked-about brands on TikTok and other social media.

14. SHEIN was an early adopter of social media marketing. The SHEIN mobile application became the most downloaded shopping application on Apple's App Store in 2021. The following year, it attained the top spot as the most downloaded application in *any category*, outperforming both TikTok and Instagram. In addition to its total of over 60 million followers on social media, it became the most talked-about brand on TikTok in 2022. Social media influencers' "SHEIN haul" videos displaying their purchases regularly go viral.

15. Through the SHEIN brand, Roadget has invested significant resources in building goodwill and brand recognition on social media. It has made a name among regular shoppers. And it has registered various copyrights to protect its original designs and photographs.

16. Roadget is the registered owner of the five copyrighted designs and photographs at issue here (the "Roadget Copyrights"). The registration certificates are attached as Exhibits 1 through 5. As reflected on those certificates, Roadget is the copyright owner, and the copyrights

are valid and enforceable. Information about the Roadget Copyrights is provided in the following table.

Registration No.	Effective Date	Title of Work	Design/Photo

Registration No.	Effective Date	Title of Work	Design/Photo

17. Each of the Roadget Copyrights is included in product listings on the SHEIN website and/or app. An exemplary SHEIN product listing for each design or photograph is provided in the following table.

Registration No.	Title of Work	SHEIN Product Listing URL

Defendants' Unlawful Conduct

18. Defendants are online sellers on e-commerce platforms, including Temu. Defendants have deliberately and unlawfully copied, displayed, and sold various apparel using

images virtually identical to the Roadget Copyrights. The success of the SHEIN brand inspires many copycats. Roadget regularly finds sellers on e-commerce platforms selling goods bearing or using infringing copies of the Roadget Copyrights. Defendants are among such sellers.

19. Defendants knowingly and willfully manufacture, offer for sale, and/or sell apparel using the Roadget Copyrights in the United States without authorization. Defendants' conduct infringes Roadget's copyright and irreparably harms Roadget.

COUNT I

Copyright Infringement (17 U.S.C. §§ 106 and 501) Against Schedule A Defendants

20. Roadget incorporates each of the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

21. The Roadget Copyrights are original works and are copyrightable subject matter under 17 U.S.C. § 101 *et seq.*

22. Roadget's copyright registrations for the Roadget Copyrights are valid and in full force and effect. At all relevant times, Roadget has owned and still owns all exclusive rights in the Roadget Copyrights, including the right to reproduce, to prepare derivative works, and to distribute copies.


23. Roadget has never assigned or licensed the rights to the Roadget Copyrights to any party unaffiliated with Roadget itself. In particular, Roadget has never granted any license or rights in the Roadget Copyrights to Defendants.

24. Defendants have deliberately and unlawfully copied, manufactured, displayed, reproduced, created derivative works of, adapted, marketed, sold, and/or distributed the Roadget Copyrights in violation of Roadget's Copyrights.

25. Defendants had access to the Roadget Copyrights via the Internet. The designs appear in connection with SHEIN-branded clothing that SDC (a Roadget-affiliated company) sells online, and the photographs are used to sell SHEIN products.

26. Defendants' copied designs and photographs are, in the vast majority of cases, virtually identical to the Roadget Copyrights. Where they are not virtually identical, they are strikingly similar—identical except for a minor alteration or two. Roadget's original designs and photographs are reproduced below alongside examples of copies found in Defendants' web stores.

Original Design/Photograph	Copied Design/Photograph

Original Design/Photograph	Copied Design/Photograph
	

27. Defendants' actions constitute willful copyright infringement in violation of 17 U.S.C. §§ 106 and 501(a).

28. Defendants reap the benefit of their unauthorized copying in the form of revenue and other profits from the sale of clothing using the copied designs and photographs.

29. Pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 504, Roadget is entitled to actual damages and any additional profits attributable to Defendants' acts in an amount to be determined at trial, as well as statutory damages, enhanced for Defendants' willful infringement.

30. Defendants have caused, and if not enjoined will continue to cause, Roadget irreparable injury for which there is no adequate remedy at law.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Roadget prays for the following relief:

1. Judgment in favor of Roadget.
2. Preliminary and permanent injunctive relief restraining Defendants and Defendants' officers, agent, servants, employees, attorneys, and all persons in active concert and/or participation with any of them from:
 - a. reproducing, distributing copies of, making derivative works of, or publicly displaying the Roadget Copyrights in any manner without Roadget's express authorization;
 - b. manufacturing, shipping, delivering, holding for sale, transferring, or otherwise moving, storing, distributing, returning, or disposing of, in any manner, products or inventory not manufactured by or for Roadget which bear the Roadget Copyrights.

3. Entry of an Order that, upon Roadget's request, those with notice of the injunction, shall disable and cease displaying any advertisements used by or associated with Defendants in connection with the sale of infringing goods which bear the Roadget Copyrights.

4. Compensatory damages, as well as disgorgement of Defendants' profits.

5. Alternatively, Roadget prays for the maximum amount of statutory damages provided by law, \$150,000 per work infringed pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 504(c).

6. Pre-and post-judgment interest and attorney's fees and costs.

7. All other and further relief as is just, equitable, and proper.

March 8, 2024

Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/ Steven J. Horowitz
Steven J. Horowitz
Matthew D. Binder
Deepa A. Chari
Michael C. Springer-Ingram
SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP
One South Dearborn Street
Chicago, IL 60603
(312) 853-7000
shorowitz@sidley.com
mbinder@sidley.com
dchari@sidley.com
mspringeringram@sidley.com

Counsel for Plaintiff
Roadget Business Pte. Ltd

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS
EASTERN DIVISION**

ROADGET BUSINESS PTE. LTD., a private
limited company organized in the country of
Singapore,

Plaintiff,

vs.

THE INDIVIDUALS, CORPORATIONS,
LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANIES,
PARTNERSHIPS, AND UNINCORPORATED
ASSOCIATIONS IDENTIFIED ON SCHEDULE A
TO THE COMPLAINT,

Defendants.

Case No.:

FILED UNDER SEAL

SEALED SCHEDULE A TO SEALED COMPLAINT

No.	Defendant Seller	Mall ID	Defendant Online Marketplace	Design Infringed	Product Link(s)

No.	Defendant Seller	Mall ID	Defendant Online Marketplace	Design Infringed	Product Link(s)